



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Hiroyuki MATSUI

Title: SECURITY SYSTEM

Appl. No.: 10/021,051

Filing Date: 12/19/2001

Examiner: Poltorak, Piotr.

Art Unit: 2134

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Commissioner:

I hereby certify that the following paper(s) and/or fee along with any attachments referred to or identified as being attached or enclosed are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) on the date of deposit shown below with sufficient postage and in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

1. Information Disclosure Statement Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56
2. PTO SB08 form (1 reference enclosed)
3. Postcard

July 7, 2005  
Date

Respectfully submitted,

  
David A. Blumenthal  
Reg. No. 26,257

Foley & Lardner LLP  
Customer Number: 22428  
Telephone: (202) 672-5407  
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399



Atty. Dkt. No. 070639-0137

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: Hiroyuki MATSUI

Title: SECURITY SYSTEM

Appl. No.: 10/021,051

Filing Date: 12/19/2001

Examiner: Poltorak, Piotr.

Art Unit: 2134

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**  
**UNDER 37 CFR §1.56**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith on Form PTO/SB/08 is a listing of a document known to Applicant in order to comply with Applicant's duty of disclosure pursuant to 37 CFR §1.56.

A copy of each non-U.S. patent document and each non-patent document is being submitted to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR §1.97 and §1.98.

The submission of any document herewith, which is not a statutory bar, is not intended as an admission that such document constitutes prior art against the claims of the present application or that such document is considered material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56(b). Applicant does not waive any rights to take any action which would be appropriate to antedate or otherwise remove as a competent reference any document which is determined to be a *prima facie* art reference against the claims of the present application.

**TIMING OF THE DISCLOSURE**

The listed document is being submitted in compliance with 37 CFR §1.97(b), before the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits.

**RELEVANCE OF EACH DOCUMENT**

The Examiner in the corresponding Japanese application has stated:

Reason 1.

The invention according to Claims 1 through 7 of this application could have been easily invented by a person with ordinary knowledge of technology in the field to which the inventions belong prior to the filing of this application based on the inventions recited in the publications below, which had been distributed in Japan or abroad prior to the filing of this application, or on inventions made publicly available for use by means of telecommunications networks prior to the filing of this application, and therefore cannot receive a patent according to the stipulations of Article 29, Paragraph 2 of the Japan Patent Law.

Note

Cited Literature 1. International Publication WO 00/68765 pamphlet

Cited Literature 2. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication 2000-259277

Cited Literature 3. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H9-120321

Remarks

Claims 1 through 7

A description is given in Cited Literature 1 where the first authorization code must be received by the communication means in order to enable display of the electronic device.

Also, Cited Literature 3 – sharing technology with the invention described in Cited Literature 1 with respect to the point of information individually owned by the user being requested when an operation is again attempted with a terminal after the user interface function ha been terminated – describes a construction for finding a user's individual information when resuming a PC from a suspended state, and in the invention described in Cited Literature 1 as well, it is not found to be exceptionally difficult to make a construction with a condition that said first authorization code being received by the communication means not only when enabling the display, but also when resuming said electronic device.

An English translation of the foreign-language document is not readily available. However, the absence of such translation does not relieve the PTO from its duty to consider the submitted foreign language document (37 CFR §1.98 and MPEP §609).

Applicant respectfully requests that each listed document be considered by the Examiner and be made of record in the present application and that an initialed copy of Form PTO/SB/08 be returned in accordance with MPEP §609.

**STATEMENT**

The undersigned hereby states in accordance with 37 CFR §1.704(d) that each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and that this communication was not received by any individual designated in 37 CFR §1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 CFR §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Date July 7, 2005

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP  
Customer Number: 22428  
Telephone: (202) 672-5407  
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

David A. Blumenthal  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 26,257

